

CLIMATE RESILIENCE PLANNING CHECKLIST

by Noha Haggag, Romany M. Webb, and Michael Panfil¹
June 2022

Vulnerability Assessment	
	Entity utilized forward-looking, downscaled projections of future climate conditions in its respective service territories. Where downscaled projections fail to provide data for key variables, the entity, at minimum, acknowledged the lack of complete information, and, if possible, sought to supplement with available data sets.
	Entity utilized multiple projections reflecting a range of possible climate change scenarios, including a “worst case” scenario
	Entity’s assessment is based on a timeframe that reflects the anticipated useful life of existing facilities or facilities scheduled for construction
	Entity identified assets and operations that could be impacted by climate change
	Entity assessed potential exposure of each asset and operation to climate impacts
	Entity determined consequences of climate impacts on asset and operations, including, direct, indirect, or induced costs.
	Entity determined the likelihood and severity of damage or disruption for its assets and operations
Resilience Plans	
	Entity identified and assessed resilience measures based on its vulnerability assessment
	Entity prioritized resilience measures and incorporated an action plan to integrate measures
Monitor and Reassess (ongoing)	

¹ Disclaimer: This document is the responsibility of the Sabin Center for Climate Change Law and Environmental Defense Fund, and does not reflect the views of Columbia Law School, Columbia University, or any ICRRRL partner organization. This document is an academic study provided for informational purposes only and does not constitute legal advice. Transmission of the information is not intended to create, and the receipt does not constitute, an attorney-client relationship between sender and receiver. No party should act or rely on any information contained in this paper without first seeking the advice of an attorney.